

STATE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY REVIEW ACT NEGATIVE DECLARATION

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION OF NON-SIGNIFICANCE

Date: February 28, 2022

Lead Agency: Dormitory Authority of the State of New York

515 Broadway

Albany, New York 12207-2964

Applicant: The New School

66 West 12th Street

New York, New York 10011

(New York County)

This notice is issued pursuant to the *State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQRA")*, codified at Article 8 of the New York Environmental Conservation Law ("ECL"), and its implementing regulations, promulgated at Part 617 of Title 6 of the *New York Codes, Rules and Regulations ("N.Y.C.R.R.")*, which collectively contain the requirements for the *State Environmental Quality Review ("SEQR")* process.

Dormitory Authority of the State of New York ("DASNY"), as lead agency, has determined that the Proposed Action described below would not have a significant adverse effect on the environment and a Draft Environmental Impact Statement ("DEIS") will not be prepared.

Title of Action: The New School

Residence Hall Acquisition (2022 Financing Project) (Independent Colleges and Universities Program)

SEQR Status: Type I Action -6 N.Y.C.R.R. 617.4(b)(9)

Review Type: Coordinated Review

Description of Proposed Action and Proposed Project

The Dormitory Authority of the State of New York ("DASNY") has received a funding request from The New School for its *Residence Hall Acquisition (2022 Financing)* ("Proposed Project"). For purposes of *SEQRA*, the Proposed Action would involve DASNY's authorization of the issuance of tax-exempt and/or taxable, fixed and/or variable rate bonds to be sold with one or more series, at one or more time, through negotiated offerings and/or private placements, pursuant to DASNY's Independent Colleges and Universities Program.

Residence Hall Acquisition. The Proposed Project would consist of the acquisition, renovation, and equipping of a 12-story, 635-bed building located at 318 East 15th Street to provide residential living space for students of The New School. The subject building has been leased by The New School for student residence use since 2009. The New School intends to continue using the building as a student residence following the acquisition and renovation. The building would receive a complete mechanical, electrical and plumbing gut renovation, including upgrades to domestic water lines, heating/cooling systems, bathrooms, kitchens, lighting, windows, roof, passenger and freight elevators, and asbestos abatement. The renovation work is scheduled to begin in May 2023 and be completed in August 2024. There would be no increase in the number of beds as a result of the renovation. The building would be vacant while the renovation work is being conducted. During this period, The New School intends to house students in a variety of accommodations, including hotels, smaller residential buildings, and other universities that have excess space.

Refunding. The Proposed Project would also include the refunding of all or a portion of DASNY's The New School Revenue Bonds, Series 2011.

Location of Proposed Project

The Project Site is located at 318 East 15th Street, Manhattan, New York County, New York.

Description of the Institution

The New School is an independent, nonprofit, coeducational institution of higher education. Its main campus is located in Greenwich Village in The City of New York, along with other locations in Manhattan. Originally founded in 1919 as the New School for Social Research, the University was created to offer instruction to adults on the pressing social, political, and economic problems of the day. Over the years, The New School expanded its focus beyond the concerns of social science to embrace the arts and culture. Today, the University offers bachelors and masters programs in the visual and performing arts in addition to bachelors, masters, doctorate and certificate programs in the liberal arts, social sciences, and management and urban policy.

Reasons Supporting This Determination

Overview. DASNY completed this environmental review in accordance with the procedures set forth in the *SEQRA*, codified at Article 8 of the New York *Environmental Conservation Law* ("ECL"), and its implementing regulations, promulgated at Part 617 of Title 6 of the *New York Codes, Rules and Regulations* ("N.Y.C.R.R."), which collectively contain the requirements for the *SEQR* process. The Proposed Project was reviewed following the procedures of the *State Environmental Quality Review* ("SEQR"). The New York *City Environmental Quality Review* ("CEQR") *Technical Manual* (December 2021 Edition, as revised) was used as a guide with respect to environmental analysis methodologies and criteria for evaluating the Proposed Project's potential effects on the environment.

The Proposed Project was also reviewed in conformance with the *New York State Historic Preservation Act of 1980 ("SHPA")*, especially the implementing regulations of Section 14.09 of the *Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation Law ("PRHPL")*, as well as with the requirements of the Memorandum of Understanding ("MOU"), dated March 18, 1998, between DASNY and the New York State Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation ("OPRHP").

Additionally, the Proposed Project was analyzed for consistency with the State of New York *Smart Growth Public Infrastructure Policy Act ("SGPIPA")*, Article 6 of the New York *ECL*, for a variety of policy areas related to land use and sustainable development. The *Smart Growth Impact Statement Assessment Form ("SGISAF")* is included with this determination.

Representatives of DASNY reviewed the *Full Environmental Assessment Form – Part 1 ("FEAF –Part 1")*, dated January 21, 2022 (attached), and determined that the Proposed Project constitutes a Type I Action pursuant to 6 *N.Y.C.R.R.* 617.4(b)(9) of the *SEQR* implementing regulations. On January 21, 2022, DASNY circulated a lead agency request letter, including the *FEAF – Part 1* as well as a *Distribution List of Involved Agencies and Interested Parties* to whom the lead agency letter was sent. There being no objection to DASNY assuming *SEQR* lead agency status, a coordinated review among the involved agencies was initiated.

DASNY representatives discussed the Proposed Project's environmental effects with representatives of The New School, as well as representatives of the involved agencies. DASNY subsequently completed an evaluation of the magnitude and importance of project impacts, as detailed in the SEQR Supplemental Report and FEAF – Parts 2 and 3 (see attached). Based on the above, and the additional information set forth below, DASNY as lead agency has analyzed the relevant areas of environmental concern and determined that the Proposed Project would not have a significant adverse effect on the environment.

General Findings. The purpose of the Proposed Project is to acquire and provide a modern residential facility for students of The New School. The proposed facility would receive a full renovation following the acquisition.

<u>SEQR</u>. DASNY's overall SEQR classification for the various elements of the proposed financing is Type I. The *Refunding* is a Type II action under SEQR as specifically designated by 6

N.Y.C.R.R. § 617.5(c)(29). Type II actions "have been determined not to have significant impact on the environment or are otherwise precluded from environmental review under *Environmental Conservation Law*, article 8." Therefore, no further *SEQR* determination or procedure is required for any component of the Proposed Project identified as Type II. It is the determination of DASNY that these components of the Proposed Project would not cumulatively result in significant adverse environmental impacts.

Hence, the environmental review which follows focuses on the *Residence Hall Acquisition*, referred to hereafter as the "Proposed Project."

New York State Smart Growth Public Infrastructure Policy Act. DASNY's Smart Growth Advisory Committee reviewed the SGISAF that was prepared in accordance with the SGPIPA and found that, to the extent practicable, the Proposed Project would be consistent with and would be generally supportive of the smart growth criteria established by the legislation. The compatibility of the Proposed Project with the criteria of the SGPIPA, Article 6 of the ECL, is detailed in the attached SGISAF. In general, the Proposed Project would comply with the relevant State and local public policy initiatives that guide development within the project area.

<u>Potential Impacts</u>. DASNY, as lead agency, has inventoried all potential resources that could be affected by the Proposed Project or action, and assessed the magnitude, duration, likelihood, scale, and context of the Proposed Project and determined that no impact, or a small impact, may occur to the following resources: Land Use, Zoning and Public Policy, Socioeconomics, Community Facilities, Open Space and Recreational Facilities, Cultural Resources, Architectural Design and Visual Resources, Neighborhood Character, Natural Resources, Hazardous Materials, Infrastructure, Solid Waste and Sanitation Services, Use and Conservation of Energy, Transportation, Air Quality, Noise, and Construction (see *FEAF – Parts 2 and 3*). No potential negative long-term or cumulative impacts or significant adverse environmental impacts were identified in connection with the Proposed Project.

<u>Summary</u>. DASNY has reviewed the Proposed Project using criteria provided in Part 617.7 of SEQRA and has determined that:

- there will be no substantial adverse change in existing air quality, ground or surface water quality or quantity, traffic or noise levels; no substantial increase in solid waste production; and no substantial increase in potential for erosion, flooding, leaching or drainage problems;
- (ii) there will be no removal or destruction of large quantities of vegetation or fauna; no substantial interference with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species; no impacts on a significant habitat area; no substantial adverse impacts on a threatened or endangered species of animal or plant, or the habitat of such a species; or other significant adverse impacts to natural resources;

¹ 6 N.Y.C.R.R. § 617.5(a).

- (iii) there will be no impairment of the environmental characteristics of a Critical Environmental Area as designated pursuant to subdivision 617.14(g) of this Part:
- (iv) there will be no creation of a material conflict with a community's current plans or goals as officially approved or adopted;
- there will be no impairment of the character or quality of important historical, archeological, architectural, or aesthetic resources or of existing community or neighborhood character;
- (vi) there will be no major change in the use of either the quantity or type of energy;
- (vii) there will be no creation of a hazard to human health;
- (viii) there will be no substantial change in the use, or intensity of use, of land including agricultural, open space or recreational resources, or in its capacity to support existing uses;
- (ix) there will be no encouraging or attracting of a large number of people to a place or places for more than a few days, compared to the number of people who would come to such place absent the action;
- (x) there will be no creation of a material demand for other actions that would result in one of the above consequences;
- (xi) there will be no changes in two or more elements of the environment, no one of which has a significant impact on the environment, but when considered together result in a substantial adverse impact on the environment;
- (xii) there will not be two or more related actions undertaken, funded or approved by an agency, none of which has or would have a significant impact on the environment, but when considered cumulatively would meet one or more of the criteria in this subdivision; and
- (xiii) there will be no other significant adverse environmental impacts.

Based on the above, and the additional information contained herein, DASNY, as lead agency, analyzed the relevant areas of environmental concern and determined that the Proposed Project would not have a significant adverse impact on the environment and a Draft Environmental Impact Statement will not be prepared.

For Further Information:

Contact Person: Robert S. Derico, R.A.

Director

Office of Environmental Affairs

Address: DASNY

515 Broadway

Albany, New York 12207-2964

Telephone: (518) 257-3214

Email: rderico@dasny.org

Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 1 - Project and Setting

Instructions for Completing Part 1

Part 1 is to be completed by the applicant or project sponsor. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification.

Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information; indicate whether missing information does not exist, or is not reasonably available to the sponsor; and, when possible, generally describe work or studies which would be necessary to update or fully develop that information.

Applicants/sponsors must complete all items in Sections A & B. In Sections C, D & E, most items contain an initial question that must be answered either "Yes" or "No". If the answer to the initial question is "Yes", complete the sub-questions that follow. If the answer to the initial question is "No", proceed to the next question. Section F allows the project sponsor to identify and attach any additional information. Section G requires the name and signature of the applicant or project sponsor to verify that the information contained in Part 1 is accurate and complete.

A. Project and Applicant/Sponsor Information.

Name of Action or Project:		
Project Location (describe, and attach a general location map):		
Brief Description of Proposed Action (include purpose or need):		
Name of Applicant/Sponsor:	Telephone:	
	E-Mail:	
Address:		
City/PO:	State:	Zip Code:
Project Contact (if not same as sponsor; give name and title/role):	Telephone:	
	E-Mail:	
Address:		
City/PO:	State:	Zip Code:
Property Owner (if not same as sponsor):	Telephone:	
	E-Mail:	
Address:		
City/PO:	State:	Zip Code:

B. Government Approvals

B. Government Approvals, Funding, or Sponassistance.)	nsorship. ("Funding" includes grants, loans, tax	relief, and any other	forms of financial
Government Entity	If Yes: Identify Agency and Approval(s) Required	Application (Actual or p	
a. City Counsel, Town Board, ☐ Yes ☐ No or Village Board of Trustees			
b. City, Town or Village ☐ Yes ☐ No Planning Board or Commission			
c. City, Town or ☐ Yes ☐ No Village Zoning Board of Appeals			
d. Other local agencies □ Yes □ No			
e. County agencies □ Yes □ No			
f. Regional agencies □ Yes □ No			
g. State agencies □ Yes □ No			
h. Federal agencies □ Yes □ No			
i. Coastal Resources.i. Is the project site within a Coastal Area, or	or the waterfront area of a Designated Inland Wa	terway?	□ Yes □ No
ii. Is the project site located in a communityiii. Is the project site within a Coastal Erosion	with an approved Local Waterfront Revitalizati Hazard Area?	on Program?	□ Yes □ No □ Yes □ No
C. Planning and Zoning			
C.1. Planning and zoning actions.			
only approval(s) which must be granted to enal • If Yes, complete sections C, F and G.	mendment of a plan, local law, ordinance, rule of the proposed action to proceed? In plete all remaining sections and questions in Page 1.	-	□ Yes □ No
C.2. Adopted land use plans.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
a. Do any municipally- adopted (city, town, vil where the proposed action would be located?		include the site	□ Yes □ No
If Yes, does the comprehensive plan include spewould be located?		oposed action	□ Yes □ No
b. Is the site of the proposed action within any l Brownfield Opportunity Area (BOA); design or other?) If Yes, identify the plan(s):	ocal or regional special planning district (for ex ated State or Federal heritage area; watershed m		□ Yes □ No
c. Is the proposed action located wholly or part	ially within an area listed in an adopted municip	al open space plan,	□ Yes □ No
or an adopted municipal farmland protection If Yes, identify the plan(s):			

C.3. Zoning	
a. Is the site of the proposed action located in a municipality with an adopted zoning law or ordinance. If Yes, what is the zoning classification(s) including any applicable overlay district?	□ Yes □ No
b. Is the use permitted or allowed by a special or conditional use permit?	□ Yes □ No
c. Is a zoning change requested as part of the proposed action?	□ Yes □ No
If Yes, i. What is the proposed new zoning for the site?	
C.4. Existing community services.	
a. In what school district is the project site located?	
b. What police or other public protection forces serve the project site?	
c. Which fire protection and emergency medical services serve the project site?	
d. What parks serve the project site?	
D. Project Details	
D.1. Proposed and Potential Development	
a. What is the general nature of the proposed action (e.g., residential, industrial, commercial, recreational; if mixed components)?	l, include all
b. a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action? acres	
b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed? acres c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned	
or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor? acres	
c. Is the proposed action an expansion of an existing project or use? i. If Yes, what is the approximate percentage of the proposed expansion and identify the units (e.g., acres, miles square feet)? % Units:	☐ Yes ☐ No , housing units,
square feet)? % Units: d. Is the proposed action a subdivision, or does it include a subdivision?	□ Yes □ No
If Yes, i. Purpose or type of subdivision? (e.g., residential, industrial, commercial; if mixed, specify types)	
ii. Is a cluster/conservation layout proposed?iii. Number of lots proposed?	□ Yes □ No
iv. Minimum and maximum proposed lot sizes? Minimum Maximum	
 e. Will the proposed action be constructed in multiple phases? i. If No, anticipated period of construction: months ii. If Yes: 	□ Yes □ No
 Total number of phases anticipated Anticipated commencement date of phase 1 (including demolition) month year Anticipated completion date of final phase month year Generally describe connections or relationships among phases, including any contingencies where progred determine timing or duration of future phases: 	

	t include new resid				□ Yes □ No
If Yes, show num	bers of units propo				
	One Family	Two Family	Three Family	Multiple Family (four or more)	
Initial Phase					
At completion					
of all phases					
D 4	1 1 1	• • • • •	1	1	- 77 - 77
	osed action include	new non-residentia	al construction (inclu	iding expansions)?	□ Yes □ No
If Yes,	of structures				
ii Dimensions (in feet) of largest p	ronosed structure:	height:	width; andlength	
iii. Approximate	extent of building s	space to be heated	or cooled:	square feet	
				I result in the impoundment of any	□ Yes □ No
				result in the impoundment of any agoon or other storage?	⊔ res ⊔ No
If Yes,	s creation of a water	suppry, reservoir,	, politi, lake, waste la	igoon of other storage:	
	impoundment:				
ii. If a water imp	impoundment:oundment, the prince	cipal source of the	water:	☐ Ground water ☐ Surface water stream	s □ Other specify:
iii. If other than w	vater, identify the ty	pe of impounded/o	contained liquids and	d their source.	
iv. Approximate	size of the proposed	d impoundment.	Volume:	million gallons; surface area:	acres
v. Dimensions o	f the proposed dam	or impounding str	ucture:	height; length	
				ructure (e.g., earth fill, rock, wood, conc	rete):
D.2. Project Op	erations				
			ning on Anadaina da	i	D Van D Na
				uring construction, operations, or both? or foundations where all excavated	□ Yes □ No
materials will r		mon, grading or in	stanation of utilities	or foundations where all excavated	
If Yes:	cmam onsite)				
	rnose of the excava	tion or dredging?			
				be removed from the site?	·
	at duration of time?				
				ged, and plans to use, manage or dispose	of them.
iv. Will there be	onsite dewatering of	or processing of ex	cavated materials?		□ Yes □ No
v What is the to	ital area to be dredge	ed or excavated?		_acres	
vi What is the m	avimum area to be	worked at any one	time?	acres	
		•		feet	
	vation require blast		n dreaging.	icct	□ Yes □ No
				crease in size of, or encroachment	□ Yes □ No
•	ng wetland, waterbo	ody, shoreline, bea	ch or adjacent area?		
If Yes:	.1 1 . 1 . 1	1.1	CC 4 1 /1		
				vater index number, wetland map number	
description):					

ii. Describe how the proposed action would affect that waterbody or wetland, e.g. excavation, fill, placem alteration of channels, banks and shorelines. Indicate extent of activities, alterations and additions in sq	
iii. Will the proposed action cause or result in disturbance to bottom sediments? If Yes, describe:	Yes □ No
<i>iv</i> . Will the proposed action cause or result in the destruction or removal of aquatic vegetation? If Yes:	□ Yes □ No
acres of aquatic vegetation proposed to be removed:	
expected acreage of aquatic vegetation remaining after project completion:	
purpose of proposed removal (e.g. beach clearing, invasive species control, boat access):	
proposed method of plant removal:	
if chemical/herbicide treatment will be used, specify product(s):	
v. Describe any proposed reclamation/mitigation following disturbance:	
. Will the proposed action use, or create a new demand for water?	□ Yes □ No
Yes:	
i. Total anticipated water usage/demand per day: gallons/day	
ii. Will the proposed action obtain water from an existing public water supply?	□ Yes □ No
Yes:	
Name of district or service area:	
Does the existing public water supply have capacity to serve the proposal? Let be a principle of the principle of the proposal.	□ Yes □ No
• Is the project site in the existing district?	□ Yes □ No
Is expansion of the district needed?	□ Yes □ No
Do existing lines serve the project site? Will be a serve the project site?	□ Yes □ No
ii. Will line extension within an existing district be necessary to supply the project? Yes:	□ Yes □ No
Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project:	
Source(s) of supply for the district:	
iv. Is a new water supply district or service area proposed to be formed to serve the project site? Yes:	□ Yes □ No
Applicant/sponsor for new district:	
Date application submitted or anticipated:	
Proposed source(s) of supply for new district:	
v. If a public water supply will not be used, describe plans to provide water supply for the project:	
vi. If water supply will be from wells (public or private), what is the maximum pumping capacity:	_ gallons/minute.
. Will the proposed action generate liquid wastes?	□ Yes □ No
Yes:	
i. Total anticipated liquid waste generation per day: gallons/day	11 . 1
ii. Nature of liquid wastes to be generated (e.g., sanitary wastewater, industrial; if combination, describe a approximate volumes or proportions of each):	
approximate volumes of proportions of each).	
i. Will the proposed action use any existing public wastewater treatment facilities? If Yes:	□ Yes □ No
Name of wastewater treatment plant to be used:	
Name of district:	
 Does the existing wastewater treatment plant have capacity to serve the project? 	□ Yes □ No
 Is the project site in the existing district? 	□ Yes □ No
 Is expansion of the district needed? 	□ Yes □ No

Do existing sewer lines serve the project site?	□ Yes □ No
• Will a line extension within an existing district be necessary to serve the project?	□ Yes □ No
If Yes:	
Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project:	
iv. Will a new wastewater (sewage) treatment district be formed to serve the project site?	□ Yes □ No
If Yes:	
Applicant/sponsor for new district:	
Date application submitted or anticipated:	
What is the receiving water for the wastewater discharge?	
v. If public facilities will not be used, describe plans to provide wastewater treatment for the project, including speci	fying proposed
receiving water (name and classification if surface discharge or describe subsurface disposal plans):	
vi. Describe any plans or designs to capture, recycle or reuse liquid waste:	
e. Will the proposed action disturb more than one acre and create stormwater runoff, either from new point sources (i.e. ditches, pipes, swales, curbs, gutters or other concentrated flows of stormwater) or non-point	□ Yes □ No
sources (i.e. thenes, pipes, swales, curbs, guiters of other concentrated flows of stormwater) of non-point source (i.e. sheet flow) during construction or post construction?	
If Yes:	
i. How much impervious surface will the project create in relation to total size of project parcel?	
Square feet or acres (impervious surface)	
Square feet or acres (parcel size)	
ii. Describe types of new point sources.	
iii. Where will the stormwater runoff be directed (i.e. on-site stormwater management facility/structures, adjacent pr groundwater, on-site surface water or off-site surface waters)?	
If to surface waters, identify receiving water bodies or wetlands:	
Will stormwater runoff flow to adjacent properties?	□ Yes □ No
<i>iv.</i> Does the proposed plan minimize impervious surfaces, use pervious materials or collect and re-use stormwater?	□ Yes □ No
f. Does the proposed action include, or will it use on-site, one or more sources of air emissions, including fuel	□ Yes □ No
combustion, waste incineration, or other processes or operations?	
If Yes, identify: i. Mobile sources during project operations (e.g., heavy equipment, fleet or delivery vehicles)	
i. Woone sources during project operations (e.g., neavy equipment, freet of derivery vehicles)	
ii. Stationary sources during construction (e.g., power generation, structural heating, batch plant, crushers)	
iii. Stationary sources during operations (e.g., process emissions, large boilers, electric generation)	
g. Will any air emission sources named in D.2.f (above), require a NY State Air Registration, Air Facility Permit,	□ Yes □ No
or Federal Clean Air Act Title IV or Title V Permit?	
If Yes:	
i. Is the project site located in an Air quality non-attainment area? (Area routinely or periodically fails to meet	\square Yes \square No
ambient air quality standards for all or some parts of the year)	
ii. In addition to emissions as calculated in the application, the project will generate:	
•Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂)	
•Tons/year (short tons) of Nitrous Oxide (N ₂ O)	
•Tons/year (short tons) of Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)	
•Tons/year (short tons) of Sulfur Hexafluoride (SF ₆)	
•Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide equivalent of Hydroflourocarbons (HFCs)	
 Tons/year (short tons) of Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs) 	

h. Will the proposed action generate or emit methane (included landfills, composting facilities)? If Yes:		□ Yes □ No
i. Estimate methane generation in tons/year (metric):ii. Describe any methane capture, control or elimination me electricity, flaring):	easures included in project design (e.g., combustion to go	enerate heat or
i. Will the proposed action result in the release of air polluta quarry or landfill operations? If Yes: Describe operations and nature of emissions (e.g., die action).		□ Yes □ No
 j. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in new demand for transportation facilities or services? If Yes: i. When is the peak traffic expected (Check all that apply): □ Randomly between hours of	: □ Morning □ Evening □ Weekend	□ Yes □ No
 iii. Parking spaces: Existing	g? sting roads, creation of new roads or change in existing available within ½ mile of the proposed site? ortation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electric	Yes No
 k. Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial profor energy? If Yes: i. Estimate annual electricity demand during operation of the project other): iii. Anticipated sources/suppliers of electricity for the project other): iiii. Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade, to 	he proposed action: et (e.g., on-site combustion, on-site renewable, via grid/l	□ Yes □ No ocal utility, or □ Yes □ No
Hours of operation. Answer all items which apply. i. During Construction: Monday - Friday: Saturday: Sunday: Holidays:	 ii. During Operations: Monday - Friday:	

m. Will the proposed action produce noise that will exceed existing ambient noise levels during construction,	□ Yes □ No
operation, or both? If yes:	
i. Provide details including sources, time of day and duration:	
	
<i>ii.</i> Will the proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a noise barrier or screen?	□ Yes □ No
Describe:	
n. Will the proposed action have outdoor lighting? If yes:	□ Yes □ No
i. Describe source(s), location(s), height of fixture(s), direction/aim, and proximity to nearest occupied structures:	
<i>ii.</i> Will proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a light barrier or screen?	□ Yes □ No
Describe:	
o. Does the proposed action have the potential to produce odors for more than one hour per day?	□ Yes □ No
If Yes, describe possible sources, potential frequency and duration of odor emissions, and proximity to nearest	
occupied structures:	
p. Will the proposed action include any bulk storage of petroleum (combined capacity of over 1,100 gallons)	□ Yes □ No
or chemical products 185 gallons in above ground storage or any amount in underground storage?	
If Yes:	
i. Product(s) to be stored	
iii. Generally, describe the proposed storage facilities:	
q. Will the proposed action (commercial, industrial and recreational projects only) use pesticides (i.e., herbicides,	□ Yes □ No
insecticides) during construction or operation?	
If Yes:i. Describe proposed treatment(s):	
ii. Will the proposed action use Integrated Pest Management Practices?	□ Yes □ No
r. Will the proposed action (commercial or industrial projects only) involve or require the management or disposal	□ Yes □ No
of solid waste (excluding hazardous materials)? If Yes:	
<i>i.</i> Describe any solid waste(s) to be generated during construction or operation of the facility:	
• Construction: tons per (unit of time)	
• Operation : tons per (unit of time)	
ii. Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, recycling or reuse of materials to avoid disposal as solid waste:Construction:	
Construction.	
• Operation:	
iii. Proposed disposal methods/facilities for solid waste generated on-site:	
Construction:	
Operation:	


	nanagement facility?	□ Yes □ No	
other disposal activities): ii. Anticipated rate of disposal/processing:			
ombustion/thermal treatm	ent. or		
Tons/hour, if combustion or thermal treatment			
cial generation, treatment	, storage, or disposal of hazard	ous □ Yes □ No	
generated, handled or ma	naged at facility:		
azardous wastes or constit	tuents:		
	us constituents:		
		□ Yes □ No	
wastes which will not be so	ent to a hazardous waste facilit	y:	
a. Existing land uses. i. Check all uses that occur on, adjoining and near the project site. □ Urban □ Industrial □ Commercial □ Residential (suburban) □ Rural (non-farm) □ Forest □ Agriculture □ Aquatic □ Other (specify):			
Current	Acrossa After	Changa	
Current Acreage	Acreage After Project Completion	Change (Acres +/-)	
		_	
		_	
		_	
		_	
		_	
		_	
		_	
		_	
	ombustion/thermal treatment		

c. Is the project site presently used by members of the community for public recreation?	
i. If Yes: explain:	□ Yes □ No
d. Are there any facilities serving children, the elderly, people with disabilities (e.g., schools, hospitals, licensed day care centers, or group homes) within 1500 feet of the project site? If Yes, i. Identify Facilities:	□ Yes □ No
e. Does the project site contain an existing dam?	□ Yes □ No
If Yes:	□ Tes □ No
i. Dimensions of the dam and impoundment:	
• Dam height: feet	
• Dam length: feet	
• Surface area: acres	
• Volume impounded: gallons OR acre-feet ii. Dam's existing hazard classification:	
iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection:	
f. Has the project site ever been used as a municipal, commercial or industrial solid waste management facility, or does the project site adjoin property which is now, or was at one time, used as a solid waste management facility Yes:	□ Yes □ No lity?
i. Has the facility been formally closed?	□ Yes □ No
If yes, cite sources/documentation:	
<i>ii.</i> Describe the location of the project site relative to the boundaries of the solid waste management facility:	
iii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities:	
g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? If Yes:	□ Yes □ No
g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste?	□ Yes □ No
g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? If Yes: i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurr	□ Yes □ No
g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? If Yes: i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurr h. Potential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site?	□ Yes □ No
g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? If Yes: i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurr h. Potential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? If Yes: i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site	□ Yes □ No
g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? If Yes: i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurr the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? If Yes: i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site Remediation database? Check all that apply:	□ Yes □ No red: □ Yes □ No □ Yes □ No
g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? If Yes: i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurr h. Potential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? If Yes: i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site	□ Yes □ No red: □ Yes □ No □ Yes □ No
g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? If Yes: i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurr remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? If Yes: i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site Remediation database? Check all that apply: Yes - Spills Incidents database	□ Yes □ No red: □ Yes □ No □ Yes □ No
g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? If Yes: i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurr h. Potential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? If Yes: i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site Remediation database? Check all that apply: Yes - Spills Incidents database Provide DEC ID number(s): Neither database ii. If site has been subject of RCRA corrective activities, describe control measures: iii. Is the project within 2000 feet of any site in the NYSDEC Environmental Site Remediation database?	□ Yes □ No red: □ Yes □ No □ Yes □ No
g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? If Yes: i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurr he proposed waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurr he proposed site? If Yes: i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site Remediation database? Check all that apply: Yes - Spills Incidents database	□ Yes □ No red: □ Yes □ No □ Yes □ No

v. Is the project site subject to an institutional control limiting property uses?	□ Yes □ No
 If yes, DEC site ID number: Describe the type of institutional control (e.g., deed restriction or easement): 	
 Describe the type of institutional control (e.g., deed restriction or easement): Describe any use limitations: 	
Describe any engineering controls:	
 Will the project affect the institutional or engineering controls in place? 	□ Yes □ No
Explain:	
E.2. Natural Resources On or Near Project Site	
a. What is the average depth to bedrock on the project site? feet	
b. Are there bedrock outcroppings on the project site?	□ Yes □ No
If Yes, what proportion of the site is comprised of bedrock outcroppings?%	
c. Predominant soil type(s) present on project site:	%
	% %
	%
d. What is the average depth to the water table on the project site? Average: feet	
e. Drainage status of project site soils: Well Drained: % of site	
□ Moderately Well Drained:% of site	
□ Poorly Drained% of site	
f. Approximate proportion of proposed action site with slopes: 0-10%: % of site	
□ 10-15%:% of site □ 15% or greater:% of site	
	D.V. D.N.
g. Are there any unique geologic features on the project site? If Yes, describe:	□ Yes □ No
1 200, 400011001	
h. Surface water features.	
i. Does any portion of the project site contain wetlands or other waterbodies (including streams, rivers,	□ Yes □ No
ponds or lakes)?	
ii. Do any wetlands or other waterbodies adjoin the project site?	\square Yes \square No
If Yes to either <i>i</i> or <i>ii</i> , continue. If No, skip to E.2.i.	
iii. Are any of the wetlands or waterbodies within or adjoining the project site regulated by any federal,	□ Yes □ No
state or local agency? iv. For each identified regulated wetland and waterbody on the project site, provide the following information	on.
• Streams: Name Classification	
 Lakes or Ponds: Name Classification 	
Wetlands: Name Approximate Size Wetland No. (if regulated by DEC)	e
• Wetland No. (if regulated by DEC) v. Are any of the above water bodies listed in the most recent compilation of NYS water quality-impaired	□ Yes □ No
waterbodies?	- 1 c s - 110
If yes, name of impaired water body/bodies and basis for listing as impaired:	
i. Is the project site in a designated Floodway?	□ Yes □ No
j. Is the project site in the 100-year Floodplain?	□ Yes □ No
k. Is the project site in the 500-year Floodplain?	□ Yes □ No
1. Is the project site located over, or immediately adjoining, a primary, principal or sole source aquifer?	□ Yes □ No
If Yes: i. Name of aquifer:	
6. I raine of aquiter.	

m. Identify the predominant wildlife species that occupy or use the project site:	
n. Does the project site contain a designated significant natural community? If Yes: i. Describe the habitat/community (composition, function, and basis for designation):	□ Yes □ No
ii. Source(s) of description or evaluation:	
iii. Extent of community/habitat:	
• Currently: acres	
Following completion of project as proposed: acres	
• Gain or loss (indicate + or -): acres	
 o. Does project site contain any species of plant or animal that is listed by the federal government or NYS as endangered or threatened, or does it contain any areas identified as habitat for an endangered or threatened specific species and listing (endangered or threatened): i. Species and listing (endangered or threatened): 	
p. Does the project site contain any species of plant or animal that is listed by NYS as rare, or as a species of special concern?	□ Yes □ No
If Yes: i. Species and listing:	
q. Is the project site or adjoining area currently used for hunting, trapping, fishing or shell fishing? If yes, give a brief description of how the proposed action may affect that use:	□ Yes □ No
E.3. Designated Public Resources On or Near Project Site	
a. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in a designated agricultural district certified pursuant to Agriculture and Markets Law, Article 25-AA, Section 303 and 304? If Yes, provide county plus district name/number:	□ Yes □ No
b. Are agricultural lands consisting of highly productive soils present? i. If Yes: acreage(s) on project site? ii. Source(s) of soil rating(s):	□ Yes □ No
The second secon	
 c. Does the project site contain all or part of, or is it substantially contiguous to, a registered National Natural Landmark? If Yes: i. Nature of the natural landmark: □ Biological Community □ Geological Feature 	□ Yes □ No
ii. Provide brief description of landmark, including values behind designation and approximate size/extent:	
d. Is the project site located in or does it adjoin a state listed Critical Environmental Area? If Yes: i. CEA name:	□ Yes □ No
ii. Basis for designation:	

e. Does the project site contain, or is it substantially contiguous to, a building, archaeological site, or district which is listed on the National or State Register of Historic Places, or that has been determined by the Commissi Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for listing on the State Register of Historic Places.	Yes No oner of the NYS
If Yes: i. Nature of historic/archaeological resource: □ Archaeological Site □ Historic Building or District	
 ii. Name: Eligible property:CHURCH OF THE IMMACULATE CONCEPTION, Eligible property:STUYVESANT HIGH SCHOOL (iii. Brief description of attributes on which listing is based: see additional resources listed in the Summary Report at the end of this document 	(FORMER) (1905-07)
f. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in or adjacent to an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory?	☐ Yes ☑ No
 g. Have additional archaeological or historic site(s) or resources been identified on the project site? If Yes: i. Describe possible resource(s): 	☐Yes ☑No
ii. Basis for identification:	
h. Is the project site within fives miles of any officially designated and publicly accessible federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource? If Yes:	☐Yes ✓No
i Idantify recovered	
ii. Nature of, or basis for, designation (e.g., established highway overlook, state or local park, state historic trail or etc.):	scenic byway,
 i. Is the project site located within a designated river corridor under the Wild, Scenic and Recreational Rivers Program 6 NYCRR 666? If Yes: 	☐ Yes ☑ No
i. Identify the name of the river and its designation:	
ii. Is the activity consistent with development restrictions contained in 6NYCRR Part 666?	☐ Yes ☐ No
F. Additional Information Attach any additional information which may be needed to clarify your project. If you have identified any adverse impacts which could be associated with your proposal, please describe those in measures which you propose to avoid or minimize them.	npacts plus any
G. Verification I certify that the information provided is true to the best of my knowledge.	2.>
Applicant/Sponsor Name Natalie Pressey Date	
Signature Title Assistant Vice and Controller	



Disclaimer: The EAF Mapper is a screening tool intended to assist project sponsors and reviewing agencies in preparing an environmental assessment form (EAF). Not all questions asked in the EAF are answered by the EAF Mapper. Additional information on any EAF question can be obtained by consulting the EAF Workbooks. Although the EAF Mapper provides the most up-to-date digital data available to DEC, you may also need to contact local or other data sources in order to obtain data not provided by the Mapper. Digital data is not a substitute for agency determinations.



B.i.i [Coastal or Waterfront Area]	No
B.i.ii [Local Waterfront Revitalization Area]	Yes
C.2.b. [Special Planning District]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.1.h [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Potential Contamination History]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Listed]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.1.h.i [DEC Spills or Remediation Site - Environmental Site Remediation Database]	Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.1.h.iii [Within 2,000' of DEC Remediation Site]	Yes
E.1.h.iii [Within 2,000' of DEC Remediation Site - DEC ID]	C231048A, V00542, 231112, V00536
E.2.g [Unique Geologic Features]	No
E.2.h.i [Surface Water Features]	No
E.2.h.ii [Surface Water Features]	No
E.2.h.iii [Surface Water Features]	No
E.2.h.v [Impaired Water Bodies]	No
E.2.i. [Floodway]	No
E.2.j. [100 Year Floodplain]	No
E.2.k. [500 Year Floodplain]	No
E.2.I. [Aquifers]	No
E.2.n. [Natural Communities]	No
E.2.o. [Endangered or Threatened Species]	No

E.2.p. [Rare Plants or Animals]	No
E.3.a. [Agricultural District]	No
E.3.c. [National Natural Landmark]	No
E.3.d [Critical Environmental Area]	No
E.3.e. [National or State Register of Historic Places or State Eligible Sites]	Yes - Digital mapping data for archaeological site boundaries are not available. Refer to EAF Workbook.
E.3.e.ii [National or State Register of Historic Places or State Eligible Sites - Name]	Eligible property:CHURCH OF THE IMMACULATE CONCEPTION, Eligible property:STUYVESANT HIGH SCHOOL (FORMER) (1905-07), Eligible property:Fire Engine Company No. 5 (1881. Romanesque), Eligible property:Manhattan Comprehensive Night & Day HS, 1904, Eligible property:New York Eye and Ear Infirmary (1890, Robert Gibso, Eligible property:apartment buildings, Eligible property:Former Mechanics and Metals Bank (George E. Matthe, Eligible property:former First German Baptist Church (1866, Julius Boekell) (now Town & Village Synagogue), Stuyvesant Square Historic District
E.3.f. [Archeological Sites]	No
E.3.i. [Designated River Corridor]	No

Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 2 - Identification of Potential Project Impacts

Project : Date :

Part 2 is to be completed by the lead agency. Part 2 is designed to help the lead agency inventory all potential resources that could be affected by a proposed project or action. We recognize that the lead agency's reviewer(s) will not necessarily be environmental professionals. So, the questions are designed to walk a reviewer through the assessment process by providing a series of questions that can be answered using the information found in Part 1. To further assist the lead agency in completing Part 2, the form identifies the most relevant questions in Part 1 that will provide the information needed to answer the Part 2 question. When Part 2 is completed, the lead agency will have identified the relevant environmental areas that may be impacted by the proposed activity.

If the lead agency is a state agency **and** the action is in any Coastal Area, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment.

Tips for completing Part 2:

- Review all of the information provided in Part 1.
- Review any application, maps, supporting materials and the Full EAF Workbook.
- Answer each of the 18 questions in Part 2.
- If you answer "Yes" to a numbered question, please complete all the questions that follow in that section.
- If you answer "No" to a numbered question, move on to the next numbered question.
- Check appropriate column to indicate the anticipated size of the impact.
- Proposed projects that would exceed a numeric threshold contained in a question should result in the reviewing agency checking the box "Moderate to large impact may occur."
- The reviewer is not expected to be an expert in environmental analysis.
- If you are not sure or undecided about the size of an impact, it may help to review the sub-questions for the general question and consult the workbook.
- When answering a question consider all components of the proposed activity, that is, the "whole action".
- Consider the possibility for long-term and cumulative impacts as well as direct impacts.
- Answer the question in a reasonable manner considering the scale and context of the project.

1. Impact on Land Proposed action may involve construction on, or physical alteration of, the land surface of the proposed site. (See Part 1. D.1) If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 2.	□NC) -	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may involve construction on land where depth to water table is less than 3 feet.	E2d		
b. The proposed action may involve construction on slopes of 15% or greater.	E2f		
c. The proposed action may involve construction on land where bedrock is exposed, or generally within 5 feet of existing ground surface.	E2a		
d. The proposed action may involve the excavation and removal of more than 1,000 tons of natural material.	D2a		
e. The proposed action may involve construction that continues for more than one year or in multiple phases.	D1e		
f. The proposed action may result in increased erosion, whether from physical disturbance or vegetation removal (including from treatment by herbicides).	D2e, D2q		
g. The proposed action is, or may be, located within a Coastal Erosion hazard area.	Bli		
h. Other impacts:			

2. Impact on Geological Features			
The proposed action may result in the modification or destruction of, or inhibit access to, any unique or unusual land forms on the site (e.g., cliffs, dunes, minerals, fossils, caves). (See Part 1. E.2.g)	ıt □ NO		YES
If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", move on to Section 3.	Relevant	No, or	Moderate
	Part I Question(s)	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
a. Identify the specific land form(s) attached:	E2g		
b. The proposed action may affect or is adjacent to a geological feature listed as a registered National Natural Landmark. Specific feature:	E3c		
c. Other impacts:			
3. Impacts on Surface Water The proposed action may affect one or more wetlands or other surface water bodies (e.g., streams, rivers, ponds or lakes). (See Part 1. D.2, E.2.h) If "Yes", answer questions a - l. If "No", move on to Section 4.	□ NC) 🗀	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may create a new water body.	D2b, D1h		
b. The proposed action may result in an increase or decrease of over 10% or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water.	D2b		
c. The proposed action may involve dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from a wetland or water body.	D2a		
d. The proposed action may involve construction within or adjoining a freshwater or tidal wetland, or in the bed or banks of any other water body.	E2h		
e. The proposed action may create turbidity in a waterbody, either from upland erosion, runoff or by disturbing bottom sediments.	D2a, D2h		
f. The proposed action may include construction of one or more intake(s) for withdrawal of water from surface water.	D2c		
g. The proposed action may include construction of one or more outfall(s) for discharge of wastewater to surface water(s).	D2d		
h. The proposed action may cause soil erosion, or otherwise create a source of stormwater discharge that may lead to siltation or other degradation of receiving water bodies.	D2e		
i. The proposed action may affect the water quality of any water bodies within or downstream of the site of the proposed action.	E2h		
j. The proposed action may involve the application of pesticides or herbicides in or around any water body.	D2q, E2h		
k. The proposed action may require the construction of new, or expansion of existing,	D1a, D2d		

wastewater treatment facilities.

1. Other impacts:			
4. Impact on groundwater The proposed action may result in new or additional use of ground water, or may have the potential to introduce contaminants to ground water or an aquife (See Part 1. D.2.a, D.2.c, D.2.d, D.2.p, D.2.q, D.2.t) If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 5.	□ NC) [YES
ij Tes , unswer questions a n. ij 140 , move on to section 3.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may require new water supply wells, or create additional demand on supplies from existing water supply wells.	D2c		
b. Water supply demand from the proposed action may exceed safe and sustainable withdrawal capacity rate of the local supply or aquifer. Cite Source:	D2c		
c. The proposed action may allow or result in residential uses in areas without water and sewer services.	D1a, D2c		
d. The proposed action may include or require wastewater discharged to groundwater.	D2d, E2l		
e. The proposed action may result in the construction of water supply wells in locations where groundwater is, or is suspected to be, contaminated.	D2c, E1f, E1g, E1h		
f. The proposed action may require the bulk storage of petroleum or chemical products over ground water or an aquifer.	D2p, E2l		
g. The proposed action may involve the commercial application of pesticides within 100 feet of potable drinking water or irrigation sources.	E2h, D2q, E2l, D2c		
h. Other impacts:			
5. Impact on Flooding The proposed action may result in development on lands subject to flooding. (See Part 1. E.2) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", move on to Section 6.	□NC) [YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in development in a designated floodway.	E2i		
b. The proposed action may result in development within a 100 year floodplain.	E2j		
c. The proposed action may result in development within a 500 year floodplain.	E2k		
d. The proposed action may result in, or require, modification of existing drainage patterns.	D2b, D2e		
e. The proposed action may change flood water flows that contribute to flooding.	D2b, E2i, E2j, E2k		
f. If there is a dam located on the site of the proposed action, is the dam in need of repair, or upgrade?	Ele		

g. Other impacts:			
6. Impacts on Air The proposed action may include a state regulated air emission source. (See Part 1. D.2.f., D.2.h, D.2.g) If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", move on to Section 7.	□ NO		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
 a. If the proposed action requires federal or state air emission permits, the action may also emit one or more greenhouse gases at or above the following levels: i. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide (CO₂) ii. More than 3.5 tons/year of nitrous oxide (N₂O) iii. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon equivalent of perfluorocarbons (PFCs) iv. More than .045 tons/year of sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆) v. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide equivalent of hydrochloroflourocarbons (HFCs) emissions vi. 43 tons/year or more of methane 	D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g		
b. The proposed action may generate 10 tons/year or more of any one designated hazardous air pollutant, or 25 tons/year or more of any combination of such hazardous air pollutants.	D2g		
c. The proposed action may require a state air registration, or may produce an emissions rate of total contaminants that may exceed 5 lbs. per hour, or may include a heat source capable of producing more than 10 million BTU's per hour.	D2f, D2g		
d. The proposed action may reach 50% of any of the thresholds in "a" through "c", above.	D2g		
e. The proposed action may result in the combustion or thermal treatment of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour.	D2s		
f. Other impacts:			
7. Impact on Plants and Animals The proposed action may result in a loss of flora or fauna. (See Part 1. E.2. If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 8.	mq.)	□NO	□ YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may cause reduction in population or loss of individuals of any threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2o		
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any rare, threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the federal government.	E2o		
c. The proposed action may cause reduction in population, or loss of individuals, of any species of special concern or conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2p		
d. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any species of special concern and conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government.	E2p		

e. The proposed action may diminish the capacity of a registered National Natural Landmark to support the biological community it was established to protect.	E3c		
f. The proposed action may result in the removal of, or ground disturbance in, any portion of a designated significant natural community. Source:	E2n		
g. The proposed action may substantially interfere with nesting/breeding, foraging, or over-wintering habitat for the predominant species that occupy or use the project site.	E2m		
h. The proposed action requires the conversion of more than 10 acres of forest, grassland or any other regionally or locally important habitat. Habitat type & information source:	E1b		
i. Proposed action (commercial, industrial or recreational projects, only) involves use of herbicides or pesticides.	D2q		
j. Other impacts:			
8. Impact on Agricultural Resources The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. ar	nd b.)	□ NO	□ YES
If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9.			
If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.	Part I	small impact	to large impact may
a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the	Part I Question(s)	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
 a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land 	Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
 a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of 	Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
 a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 	Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
 a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land 	Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb E3b E1b, E3a	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
 a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System. b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc). c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land. d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District. e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system. f. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development 	Part I Question(s) E2c, E3b E1a, Elb E3b E1b, E3a El a, E1b C2c, C3,	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur

9. Impact on Aesthetic Resources The land use of the proposed action are obviously different from, or are in sharp contrast to, current land use patterns between the proposed project and a scenic or aesthetic resource. (Part 1. E.1.a, E.1.b, E.3.h.) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", go to Section 10.) 🗆	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Proposed action may be visible from any officially designated federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource.	E3h		
b. The proposed action may result in the obstruction, elimination or significant screening of one or more officially designated scenic views.	E3h, C2b		
c. The proposed action may be visible from publicly accessible vantage points: i. Seasonally (e.g., screened by summer foliage, but visible during other seasons) ii. Year round	E3h		
d. The situation or activity in which viewers are engaged while viewing the proposed action is:i. Routine travel by residents, including travel to and from workii. Recreational or tourism based activities	E3h E2q, E1c	_ _	_ _
e. The proposed action may cause a diminishment of the public enjoyment and appreciation of the designated aesthetic resource.	E3h		
f. There are similar projects visible within the following distance of the proposed project: 0-1/2 mile ½ -3 mile 3-5 mile 5+ mile	D1a, E1a, D1f, D1g		
g. Other impacts:			
10. Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources The proposed action may occur in or adjacent to a historic or archaeological resource. (Part 1. E.3.e, f. and g.) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 11.) 🗆	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, any buildings, archaeological site or district which is listed on the National or State Register of Historical Places, or that has been determined by the Commissioner of the NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for listing on the State Register of Historic Places.	E3e		
b. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory.	E3f		
c. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an archaeological site not included on the NY SHPO inventory. Source:	E3g		

d. Other impacts:			
If any of the above (a-d) are answered "Moderate to large impact may e. occur", continue with the following questions to help support conclusions in Part 3:			
 The proposed action may result in the destruction or alteration of all or part of the site or property. 	E3e, E3g, E3f		
ii. The proposed action may result in the alteration of the property's setting or integrity.	E3e, E3f, E3g, E1a, E1b		
iii. The proposed action may result in the introduction of visual elements which are out of character with the site or property, or may alter its setting.	E3e, E3f, E3g, E3h, C2, C3		
11. Impact on Open Space and Recreation The proposed action may result in a loss of recreational opportunities or a reduction of an open space resource as designated in any adopted municipal open space plan. (See Part 1. C.2.c, E.1.c., E.2.q.) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 12.	□NO) 🗆	YES
•	Relevant	No, or	Moderate
	Part I Question(s)	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in an impairment of natural functions, or "ecosystem services", provided by an undeveloped area, including but not limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, wildlife habitat.	D2e, E1b E2h, E2m, E2o, E2n, E2p		
b. The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource.	C2a, E1c, C2c, E2q		
c. The proposed action may eliminate open space or recreational resource in an area with few such resources.	C2a, C2c E1c, E2q		
d. The proposed action may result in loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource.	C2c, E1c		
e. Other impacts:			
12. Impact on Critical Environmental Areas The proposed action may be located within or adjacent to a critical environmental area (CEA). (See Part 1. E.3.d) If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", go to Section 13.) <u> </u>	YES
	Relevant	No, or	Moderate
	Part I Question(s)	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d		
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quality of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d		
c. Other impacts:			

13. Impact on Transportation The proposed action may result in a change to existing transportation systems (See Part 1. D.2.j)	s. 🗆 No	O 🗖	YES
If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 14.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Projected traffic increase may exceed capacity of existing road network.	D2j		
b. The proposed action may result in the construction of paved parking area for 500 or more vehicles.	D2j		
c. The proposed action will degrade existing transit access.	D2j		
d. The proposed action will degrade existing pedestrian or bicycle accommodations.	D2j		
e. The proposed action may alter the present pattern of movement of people or goods.	D2j		
f. Other impacts:			
	1		•
14. Impact on Energy The proposed action may cause an increase in the use of any form of energy. (See Part 1. D.2.k) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 15.	□Nº	O 🗆	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation.	D2k		
b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use.	D1f, D1q, D2k		
c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity.	D2k		
d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed.	D1g		
e. Other Impacts:			
[12]			
15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor ligh (See Part 1. D.2.m., n., and o.) If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 16.	ting. NC) 🗆	YES
J ,	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may produce sound above noise levels established by local regulation.	D2m		
b. The proposed action may result in blasting within 1,500 feet of any residence, hospital, school, licensed day care center, or nursing home.	D2m, E1d		

c. The proposed action may result in routine odors for more than one hour per day.

D2o

d. The proposed action may result in light shining onto adjoining properties.	D2n	
e. The proposed action may result in lighting creating sky-glow brighter than existing area conditions.	D2n, E1a	
f. Other impacts:		

16. Impact on Human Health The proposed action may have an impact on human health from exposure \square NO \square YES to new or existing sources of contaminants. (See Part 1.D.2.q., E.1. d. f. g. and h.) If "Yes", answer questions a - m. If "No", go to Section 17. Relevant Moderate No,or Part I small to large **Ouestion(s)** impact impact may may cccur occur a. The proposed action is located within 1500 feet of a school, hospital, licensed day E1d П П care center, group home, nursing home or retirement community. Elg, Elh b. The site of the proposed action is currently undergoing remediation. Elg, Elh П c. There is a completed emergency spill remediation, or a completed environmental site remediation on, or adjacent to, the site of the proposed action. Elg, Elh d. The site of the action is subject to an institutional control limiting the use of the П property (e.g., easement or deed restriction). e. The proposed action may affect institutional control measures that were put in place Elg, Elh П to ensure that the site remains protective of the environment and human health. D2t f. The proposed action has adequate control measures in place to ensure that future generation, treatment and/or disposal of hazardous wastes will be protective of the environment and human health. g. The proposed action involves construction or modification of a solid waste D2q, E1f П management facility. D2q, E1f h. The proposed action may result in the unearthing of solid or hazardous waste. П D2r, D2s i. The proposed action may result in an increase in the rate of disposal, or processing, of solid waste. j. The proposed action may result in excavation or other disturbance within 2000 feet of E1f, E1g a site used for the disposal of solid or hazardous waste. E1h E1f, E1g k. The proposed action may result in the migration of explosive gases from a landfill П П site to adjacent off site structures. D2s, E1f, 1. The proposed action may result in the release of contaminated leachate from the D2r project site. m. Other impacts:

17. Consistency with Community Plans The proposed action is not consistent with adopted land use plans. (See Part 1. C.1, C.2. and C.3.) If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", go to Section 18.	□NO	□ YES	
ij Tes , answer questions a n. ij Tio , go to section 10.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action's land use components may be different from, or in sharp contrast to, current surrounding land use pattern(s).	C2, C3, D1a E1a, E1b		
b. The proposed action will cause the permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located to grow by more than 5%.	C2		
c. The proposed action is inconsistent with local land use plans or zoning regulations.	C2, C2, C3		
d. The proposed action is inconsistent with any County plans, or other regional land use plans.	C2, C2		
e. The proposed action may cause a change in the density of development that is not supported by existing infrastructure or is distant from existing infrastructure.	C3, D1c, D1d, D1f, D1d, Elb		
f. The proposed action is located in an area characterized by low density development that will require new or expanded public infrastructure.	C4, D2c, D2d D2j		
g. The proposed action may induce secondary development impacts (e.g., residential or commercial development not included in the proposed action)	C2a		
h. Other:			
<u> </u>			
19. Consistency with Community Character			
18. Consistency with Community Character The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)	□ NO)	/ES
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact	Moderate to large impact may
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community. b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community. b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire) c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where	Relevant Part I Question(s) E3e, E3f, E3g C4 C2, C3, D1f	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community. b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire) c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing. d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized	Relevant Part I Question(s) E3e, E3f, E3g C4 C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3. a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community. b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire) c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing. d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized or designated public resources. e. The proposed action is inconsistent with the predominant architectural scale and	Relevant Part I Question(s) E3e, E3f, E3g C4 C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a C2, E3	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur

Project : Date :

Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 3 - Evaluation of the Magnitude and Importance of Project Impacts and Determination of Significance

Part 3 provides the reasons in support of the determination of significance. The lead agency must complete Part 3 for every question in Part 2 where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.

Based on the analysis in Part 3, the lead agency must decide whether to require an environmental impact statement to further assess the proposed action or whether available information is sufficient for the lead agency to conclude that the proposed action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact. By completing the certification on the next page, the lead agency can complete its determination of significance.

Reasons Supporting This Determination:

To complete this section:

- Identify the impact based on the Part 2 responses and describe its magnitude. Magnitude considers factors such as severity, size or extent of an impact.
- Assess the importance of the impact. Importance relates to the geographic scope, duration, probability of the impact
 occurring, number of people affected by the impact and any additional environmental consequences if the impact were to
 occur.
- The assessment should take into consideration any design element or project changes.
- Repeat this process for each Part 2 question where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where
 there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse
 environmental impact.
- Provide the reason(s) why the impact may, or will not, result in a significant adverse environmental impact
- For Conditional Negative Declarations identify the specific condition(s) imposed that will modify the proposed action so that no significant adverse environmental impacts will result.
- Attach additional sheets, as needed.

	Determination of 6	ianifiaanaa 7	From a 1 and IIm	listed Astions	
	Determination of S	oignificance - 1	Type I and On	nstea Actions	
SEQR Status:	☐ Type 1	☐ Unlisted			
Identify portions of EA	AF completed for this Project:	□ Part 1	□ Part 2	□ Part 3	
					FEAF 2019

Upon review of the information recorded on this EAF, as noted, plus this additional support information
and considering both the magnitude and importance of each identified potential impact, it is the conclusion of the as lead agency that:
☐ A. This project will result in no significant adverse impacts on the environment, and, therefore, an environmental impact statement need not be prepared. Accordingly, this negative declaration is issued.
☐ B. Although this project could have a significant adverse impact on the environment, that impact will be avoided or substantially mitigated because of the following conditions which will be required by the lead agency:
There will, therefore, be no significant adverse impacts from the project as conditioned, and, therefore, this conditioned negative declaration is issued. A conditioned negative declaration may be used only for UNLISTED actions (see 6 NYCRR 617.7(d)).
☐ C. This Project may result in one or more significant adverse impacts on the environment, and an environmental impact statement must be prepared to further assess the impact(s) and possible mitigation and to explore alternatives to avoid or reduce those impacts. Accordingly, this positive declaration is issued.
Name of Action:
Name of Lead Agency:
Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency:
Title of Responsible Officer:
Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency: Date:
Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer) Matthal Parler Date:
For Further Information:
Contact Person:
Address:
Telephone Number:
E-mail:
For Type 1 Actions and Conditioned Negative Declarations, a copy of this Notice is sent to:
Chief Executive Officer of the political subdivision in which the action will be principally located (e.g., Town / City / Village of) Other involved agencies (if any) Applicant (if any) Environmental Notice Bulletin: http://www.dec.ny.gov/enb/enb.html



SMART GROWTH IMPACT STATEMENT ASSESSMENT FORM

Date: Project Applicant: Project Name: Program: Project Location: Project Number: Completed by:	February 28, 2022 The New School Residence Hall Acquisition (2022 Financing Project) Independent Colleges and Universities 318 East 15th Street, Manhattan, New York County, New York N/A Matthew A. Stanley, AICP
Dormitory Authority of to determine whether Act ("SSGPIPA"), A	mpact Statement Assessment Form ("SGISAF") is a tool to assist the applicant and the of the State of New York's ("DASNY's") Smart Growth Advisory Committee in deliberations a project is consistent with the New York State Smart Growth Public Infrastructure Policy article 6 of the New York State Environmental Conservation Law ("ECL"). Not all any be relevant or applicable to all projects.
Description of Prop	osed Action and Proposed Project:
finance a portion of provide residential li- student residence us	would involve DASNY's authorization of the issuance of Series 2022 Bonds, to be used to the costs of the acquisition, renovation, and equipping of a 12-story, 635-bed building to ving space for students. The subject building has been leased by The New School for e since 2009. The building would receive a complete mechanical, electrical and plumbing ould be no increase in the number of beds as a result of the renovation.
	ct Assessment: Have any other entities issued a Smart Growth Impact Statement to this project? (If so, attach same). \square Yes \boxtimes No
	advance or otherwise involve the use of, maintain, or improve existing infrastructure? escribe: X Yes X No X Not Relevant
The Project Site i	s fully serviced with municipal infrastructure and public utilities.
Check all that apply A city or a vill within the bound Area of concessions in Central bundless.	undaries of a generally-recognized college, university, hospital or nursing-home campus entrated and mixed land use that serves as a center for various activities including, but not

The project would be located in New York City.

Hardship areas

Brownfield opportunity areas (https://www.dos.ny.gov/opd/programs/brownFieldOpp/index.html)

Downtown areas of Local Waterfront Revitalization Programs ("LWRPs") (https://www.dos.ny.gov/opd/programs/lwrp.html)

Transit-oriented development areas (i.e., areas with access to public transit for residents)

Environmental justice areas (https://www.dec.ny.gov/public/911.html)

¹ https://www.nysenate.gov/legislation/laws/ENV/A6

² DASNY interprets the term "municipal centers" to include existing, developed institutional campuses such as universities, colleges and hospitals.

3.	Is the project located adjacent to municipal centers (please see characteristics in question 2, above) with clearly-defined borders, in an area designated for concentrated development in the future by a municipal or regional comprehensive plan that exhibits strong land use, transportation, infrastructure and economic connections to an existing municipal center? Check one and describe: \square Yes \square No \bowtie Not Relevant
	This is not relevant because the project is consistent with criterion 2 above.
4.	Is the project located in an area designated by a municipal or comprehensive plan, and appropriately zoned, as a future municipal center? Check one and describe: \square Yes \square No \boxtimes Not Relevant
	This is not relevant because the project is consistent with criterion 2 above.
5.	Is the project located wholly or partially in a developed area or an area designated for concentrated infill development in accordance with a municipally-approved comprehensive land use plan, a local waterfront revitalization plan, brownfield opportunity area plan or other development plan? Check one and describe: \square Yes \square No \boxtimes Not Relevant
	This is not relevant because the project is consistent with criterion 2 above.
6.	Does the project preserve and enhance the state's resources, including agricultural lands, forests, surface and groundwater, air quality, recreation and open space, scenic areas, and/or significant historic and archeological resources? Check one and describe: \boxtimes Yes \square No \square Not Relevant
	DASNY's SEQR review concluded that the project would have no adverse impacts on agricultural land, forest, surface and groundwater, air quality, recreation and open space, scenic areas or significant historic and archeological resources.
7.	Does the project foster mixed land uses and compact development, downtown revitalization, brownfield redevelopment, the enhancement of beauty in public spaces, the diversity and affordability of housing in proximity to places of employment, recreation and commercial development and/or the integration of all income and age groups? Check one and describe: \boxtimes Yes \square No \square Not Relevant
	The project would improve The New School's ability to provide educational services to its students, thereby strengthening New York City as a community of mixed land uses and compact development.
8.	Does the project provide mobility through transportation choices, including improved public transportation and reduced automobile dependency? Check one and describe: \square Yes \square No \boxtimes Not Relevant
	The project site is accessible by public transportation.
9.	Does the project demonstrate coordination among state, regional, and local planning and governmental officials? Check one and describe: \boxtimes Yes \square No \square Not Relevant
	DASNY conducted a coordinated SEQR review of the project.
10.	Does the project involve community-based planning and collaboration? Check one and describe: ⊠ Yes □ No □ Not Relevant
	The project has been designed to serve The New School students and as such, planning efforts have focused on the university community.
11.	Is the project consistent with local building and land use codes? Check one and describe: ⊠ Yes □ No □ Not Relevant

³ Demonstration may include State Environmental Quality Review ["SEQR"] coordination with involved and interested agencies, district formation, agreements between involved parties, letters of support, State Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ["SPDES"] permit issuance/revision notices, etc.

	The project would meet all appropriate codes.
12.	Does the project promote sustainability by strengthening existing and creating new communities which reduce greenhouse gas emissions and do not compromise the needs of future generations? Check one and describe: Yes No Not Relevant
	The project would promote sustainability by renovating an existing building and improving all systems to be in compliance with current codes.
13.	During the development of the project, was there broad-based public involvement?⁴ Check one and describe: ⊠ Yes □ No □ Not Relevant
	DASNY conducted a coordinated SEQR review of the project.
14.	Does the Recipient have an ongoing governance structure to sustain the implementation of community planning? Check one and describe: \boxtimes Yes \square No \square Not Relevant
	As an institution of higher learning, The New School engages in planning activities to improve the services is delivers to students and the community.
15.	Does the project mitigate future physical climate risk due to sea level rise, and/or storm surges and/or flooding, based on available data predicting the likelihood of future extreme weather events, including hazard risk analysis data if applicable? Check one and describe: Yes No Not Relevant
	The project site is not located in a flood zone.
DA	SNY has reviewed the available information regarding this project and finds: The project was developed in general consistency with the relevant Smart Growth Criteria. The project was not developed in general consistency with the relevant Smart Growth Criteria. It was impracticable to develop this project in a manner consistent with the relevant Smart Growth Criteria for the following reasons:
ΑТ	TESTATION
ext	President of DASNY/designee of the President of DASNY, hereby attest that the Proposed Project, to the ent practicable, meets the relevant criteria set forth above and that to the extent that it is not practical to meet relevant criterion, for the reasons given above.
Sic	02/28/2022 gnature/Date
	bert S. Derico, R.A., Director, Office of Environmental Affairs nt Name and Title

⁴ Documentation may include SEQR coordination with involved and interested agencies, SPDES permit issuance/revision notice, approval of Bond Resolution, formation of district, evidence of public hearings, Environmental Notice Bulletin ["ENB"] or other published notices, letters of support, etc.